

NASA TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

1N-91
56415
NASA TM 88496
7P.

EVALUATION OF THE MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF PHOBOS' REGOLITH

A. V. Kozenko

Translation of "K otsenke mekhanicheskikh parametrov grunt Fobosa," IN: Astronomicheskiiy vestnik, Vol. 20, No. 2, 1986, "Nauka" Press, Moscow, pp. 155-157 (UDC 551.510).

(NASA-TM-88496) EVALUATION OF THE
MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF PHOBOS' REGOLITH
(National Aeronautics and Space
Administration) 7 p

N87-18493

CSCD 03B

Unclas

G3/91 43285

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20546 JANUARY, 1987

STANDARD TITLE PAGE

1. Report No. NASA TM-88496	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle EVOLUTION OF MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF PHOBOS REGOLITH		5. Report Date JANUARY 1987	
		6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author(s) A. V. Kozenko		8. Performing Organization Report No.	
		10. Work Unit No.	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address The Corporate Word 1102 Arrott Building Pittsburgh, PA 15222		11. Contract or Grant No. NASW-4006	
		13. Type of Report and Period Covered Translation	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D.C. 20546		14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes Translation of "K otsenke mekhanicheskikh parametrov grunta fobosa," IN: Astronomicheskiiy vestnik, Vol. 20, No. 2, 1986, "Nauka" Press, Moscow, pp. 155-157 (UDC 551.510)			
16. Abstract Slopes and heights in craters and grooves which appear to be overlain with regolith make it possible to set lower limits for regolith strength. The mechanical properties for this material are set at $c = 0.001-0.07 \text{ N/cm}^2$, $\varphi = 5-30^\circ$.			
17. Key Words (Selected by Author(s))		18. Distribution Statement Unlimited	
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified	21. No. of Pages 7	22. Price

EVALUATION OF THE MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF PHOBOS' REGOLITH

A. V. Kozenko

Photometric, polarimetric, and radiometric data indicate /155* that Phobos' surface is covered with fine regolith formed due to impact with the ground [2]. Its mechanical properties can be pre-determined on the basis of the results of studying the morphology of the satellite's craters and grooves. We know that the transverse profile of grooves is smooth and that groove walls are usually rather flat, with less than a 10° slope. Only the largest grooves near the crater Stickney have slopes as high as 30° . Furrows are no more than 100 m deep [11]. In addition, preliminary photogrammetric evaluations indicate that the average depth/diameter ratio for young craters is close to 0.2 [10]. Large craters have diameters measured in kilometers (the diameter of the largest crater, Stickney, equals 8 km). Therefore, rather deep bowl-shaped craters in the equatorial region have sides curved more than $40-50^\circ$ [4]. According to A. T. Bazilevskiy's evaluations, maximum slope curvature on the inner sides reaches $50-60^\circ$ [6]. Crater sides must consist of fine-grain and large-chunk material with a slope equal to the limit permissible for the soil's given mechanical parameters.**

The soil's mechanical properties are a function of the characteristics of the interaction between particles -- friction and autogenesis -- quantified by cohesion and the angle of

*Numbers in the margin indicate pagination of the foreign text.

**First, the regolith itself may possibly constitute only the top of the slope, since the regolith's thickness is measured in hundreds of meters. Second, because Phobos is not a sphere, evaluation of slope curvature has an indeterminateness of $5-10^\circ$, since it was conducted on the basis of shadows cast and on the assumption that the satellite is a sphere.

internal friction respectively. Consequently, different combinations of cohesion and friction parameters may provide observable values for slope curvature. This article presents corresponding evaluations of these parameters in terms of order of magnitude.

Several approximation techniques for calculating slope stability on the basis of given mechanical soil properties -- internal friction angle, cohesion, and volumetric weight -- are used in soil mechanics to determine maximum slope curvature at a fixed height. K. Tertsagi's ratio is used for this purpose [3]:

/156

$$c/\rho g = H/N, \quad | \quad (1)$$

where H is critical slope height; c, soil cohesion; ρ , soil density; g, gravitational acceleration; N, a factor dependent on internal friction angle φ and slope β and determined from Terzaghi's curve ([3], fig. 45).

In this case, the limit equilibrium for slope from a uniform material lying on a uniform base is established. It is assumed that a slope fails due to formation of a round-cylinder sliding surface crossing the vertex and base of the slope. Then, assigning $N(\varphi, \beta)$, one can obtain the lower limit for $c/\rho g$, and, knowing ρ and g, find cohesion c, which, together with internal friction angle φ , gives the total characteristic for the soil's mechanical properties.

The resulting mechanical properties may be used to determine the soil's bearing capacity p. In case of local shear, i.e. when the soil is packed only indirectly under the load-imposing area, for a long band of width 2b, it is determined near the soil surface according to [3], with the following ratio:

$$p = \frac{2}{3} c \chi_c' + \rho g b \chi_v' + \rho g t_b \chi_p', \quad (2)$$

where t_g is band width beneath the soil surface; χ_c' , χ_p' , and χ_γ' are bearing capacity factors, which are functions of φ and are adjusted to Terzaghi's curve [3, fig. 38] also in R. Scott [9]. Since local shear at $t_g=0$ gives the lower boundary for bearing capacity, the following ratio is used for calculations:

$$p = \frac{2}{3} c\chi_c' + \rho g b \chi_\gamma'. \quad (3)$$

Free fall accelerations differ substantially for various bodies in the Solar System (for the Moon, $g \sim 162 \text{ cm/sec}^2$; for Phobos, $g \sim 0.5 \text{ cm/sec}^2$). Therefore, following L. Jaffe [8], we can conveniently introduce mass bearing capacity $p_m = p/g$. Then ratio (3) takes the form

$$p_m/\rho = 2c\chi_c'/3\rho g + b\chi_\gamma'. \quad (4)$$

Calculations were performed for Phobos for data on the morphology of craters and grooves. Average regolith density on the surface was set on the order of 1 g/cm^3 ; gravitational acceleration, about 0.5 cm/sec^2 (for details, see [1]).

On the basis of data on craters, soil cohesion is evaluated at $c_{kr} \approx 0.07\text{--}0.03 \text{ N/cm}^2$; on the basis of data on grooves, at $c_b \approx 0.005\text{--}0.001 \text{ N/cm}^2$. The internal friction angle φ was set at $5\text{--}30^\circ$. Mass bearing capacities were respectively set on the order of 100 and 5 kg/cm^2 or 0.5 and 0.025 N/cm^2 . These values should be considered somewhat underestimated.

The discrepancy in mechanical properties of Phobos' soil surface in different structural formations -- craters and grooves -- is noteworthy. At this point it is not entirely understood. These soil parameters are also somewhat lower than similar parameters for the Moon's soil: $\varphi \approx 10\text{--}45^\circ$, $c = 0.01\text{--}0.04 \text{ N/cm}^2$, and bearing capacity about 3 N/cm^2 [7], although the adhesion of lunar soil not shown in the photometric target of Surveyor 6 is calculated at $0.001\text{--}0.01 \text{ N/cm}^2$ [5].

I would like to thank V. N. Zharkov for his advice.

1. Zharkov, V. N., Kozenko, A. V., Mayeva, S. V., "Structure and Origin of Mars' Satellites," Astron. vestn. 1984, vol. 18, No. 2, 83-90.
2. Seydelmen, P. M., ed., Sputniki Marsa [Mars' Satellites]: "Mir" Press, 1981, p. 56.
3. Tertsagi, K., Teoriya mekhaniki gruntov [Soil Mechanics Theory]. Moscow: "Gosstroyizdat" Press, 1961.
4. Udarnyye kratery na Lune i planetakh [Impact Craters on the Moon and Planets]: Moscow: "Nauka" Press, 1983, p. 90.
5. Cherkasov, I. I., Shvarev, V. V., Gruntovedeniye Luny [Lunar Soil Science]: Moscow: "Nauka" Press, 1970, p. 76.
6. Basilevsky, A. T., Chernaya, I. M., "Craters on Phobos and Deimos: Photogeologic Study," Lunar and Planetary Science X. Houston: Lunar and Planetary Institute, 1979, pp. 69-71.
7. Jaffe, L. D., "Lunar Surface Strength," Icarus, 1967, vol. 16, No. 1, pp. 75-91.
8. Jaffe, L. D., "Strength of the Lunar Dust," J. Geophys. Res., 1965, vol. 70, No. 24, pp. 6139-6146.
9. Scott, R. F., Principles of Soil Mechanics -- Readings. Addison Wesley, 1963.
10. Thomas, P., "Surface Features of Phobos and Deimos," Icarus, 1979, vol. 40, No. 2, pp. 223-243.
11. Thomas, P., Veverka, G., "Grooves on Phobos: Their Distribution, Morphology and Possible Origin," J. Geophys. Res. 1979, vol. 84, No. B14, pp. 8457-8477.